arXiv:1510.03991v1 [math.RT] 14 Oct 2015

A NOTE ON MODEL STRUCTURE OF ARBITRARY FROBENIUS CATEGORIES

ZHI-WEI LI

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University
Xuzhou 221116, Jiangsu, PR China.

Abstract. We show that there is a model structure in the sense of Quillen on an arbitrary Frobenius category $\mathcal{F}$ such that the homotopy category of this model structure is equivalent to the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ as triangulated categories.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the stable categories of Frobenius categories [7] and the homotopy categories of model structures on some categories in the sense of Quillen [13] are two important ways to obtain triangulated categories. This note is aimed to show that the first way can be recovered from the second one.

Recall that an *exact category* in the sense of Quillen [14] is a pair $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{E})$ in which $\mathcal{F}$ is a full, extension-closed additive subcategory of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of short exact sequences in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$ with terms in $\mathcal{F}$. There is an axiomatic description of an exact category in [12, Appendix A]. Following Keller, in a short exact sequence $X \overset{f}{\rightarrowtail} Y \overset{g}{\twoheadrightarrow} Z$ in $\mathcal{E}$, the morphism f is called an *inflation*, g is called a *deflation* and the short exact sequence itself is called a *conflation*. We will use $\mathrm{Inf}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{F})$ to denote the set of inflations and deflations of $\mathcal{F}$ respectively.

An exact category $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{E})$ is called a *Frobenius* category if $\mathcal{F}$ has enough projective objects (relative to $\mathcal{E}$) and injective objects (relative to $\mathcal{E}$), and the projective objects coincide with the injective ones. We use $\mathcal{I}$ to denote the subcategory of injective-projective objects of $\mathcal{F}$. Note that $\mathcal{I}$ is closed under direct summands by Corollary 11.7 of [3]. Recall that given two morphisms $f,g : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{F}$, f is said to be *stably equivalent* to g, written $f \sim g$, if $f-g$ factors through some object in $\mathcal{I}$. Denote by $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ the stable category of $\mathcal{F}$ modulo $\mathcal{I}$, it is a triangulated category [7, Theorem 2.6].

Date: October 15, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G25, 18E30, 18G55.

Key words and phrases. Frobenius categories; Triangulated categories; Model structures.

In a Frobenius category $\mathcal{F}$, we define the following three classes of morphisms in $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Inf}(\mathcal{F}),\ \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{F}),\ \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F}) = \{\textit{stable equivalences}\}.$$

The following result shows that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}} := (\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F}))$ is a model structure in the sense of Definition I.1.1 of [13] on $\mathcal{F}$. This result is inspired by [9, Theorem 2.2.12], [5, Corollary 3.4] and [6, Proposition 4.1] for the cases when $\mathcal{F}$ is the module category of a Frobenius ring and a weakly idempotent complete (i.e. every split monomorphism is an inflation) exact category.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Frobenius category. Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a model structure on $\mathcal{F}$ and the associated homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is equivalent to $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ as triangulated categories.*

2. The proof of Theorem 1.1

We need the following Lemma which is a generalization of the Extension-Lifting Lemma of [1, Lemma VIII.3.1] from abelian categories to exact categories. We refer the reader to [3, Definition 2.1] for an axiomatic description of an exact category and we will use it freely. Recall that in an exact category $\mathcal{F}$, we can define the Yoneda Ext bifunctor $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y)$. It is the abelian group of equivalence classes of conflations $Y \rightarrowtail Z \twoheadrightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{F}$; see [15, Chapter XII.4] for details.

Lemma 2.1. (Extension-Lifting Lemma) *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an exact category. Consider the following commutative diagram of conflations*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \overset{i}{\rightarrowtail} & B & \overset{d}{\twoheadrightarrow} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle\lambda} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} & & \\ Y & \underset{c}{\rightarrowtail} & C & \underset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} & D \end{array}$$

The following statements are equivalent:

(a) $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y) = 0$;

(b) *there exists a lift $\lambda : B \to C$, such that $\lambda i = \alpha$ and $p\lambda = \beta$.*

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. We have the following pullback diagram

$$(*) \qquad \begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \overset{\varepsilon}{\rightarrowtail} & K & \overset{\zeta}{\twoheadrightarrow} & B \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\beta} \\ Y & \underset{c}{\rightarrowtail} & C & \underset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} & D. \end{array}$$

By Proposition 1.12 of [3], the sequence $Y \overset{\varepsilon}{\rightarrowtail} K \overset{\zeta}{\twoheadrightarrow} B$ is a conflation in $\mathcal{F}$. Since $\beta i = p\alpha$, there exists a unique morphism $\phi : A \to K$ such that $\zeta\phi = i, \gamma\phi = \alpha$. Embedding ϕ into the abelian category $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let ξ be the cokernel of ϕ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$. We have the following exact commutative diagram in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y & = & Y \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varepsilon} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} \\ A & \overset{\phi}{\rightarrowtail} & K & \overset{\xi}{\twoheadrightarrow} & L \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \zeta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ A & \underset{i}{\rightarrowtail} & B & \underset{d}{\twoheadrightarrow} & X \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is extension-closed and $Y \rightarrowtail L \overset{\eta}{\twoheadrightarrow} X$ is a short exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}}$, we know that $L \in \mathcal{F}$. Then both $Y \overset{\iota}{\rightarrowtail} L \overset{\eta}{\twoheadrightarrow} X$ and $A \rightarrowtail K \overset{\eta}{\twoheadrightarrow} L$ are conflations in $\mathcal{F}$. The hypothesis implies that η is split, and its kernel $\iota : Y \to L$ is also split. By the above diagram, ι admits a factorization $\xi\varepsilon$. It follows that ε is split or equivalently $\zeta : K \to B$ is split. Then in the diagram $(*)$, there is a morphism $\theta : B \to C$ such that $p\theta = \beta$. Then $p\theta i = \beta i = p\alpha$ implies that $p(\theta i - \alpha) = 0$. Hence there exists a unique morphism $\mu : A \to Y$ such that $c\mu = \alpha - \theta i$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y) = 0$, the pushout of the extension $A \rightarrowtail B \twoheadrightarrow X$ along μ, splits. Hence there exists a morphism $v : B \to Y$ such that $\mu = vi$. Then $cvi + \theta i = c\mu + \theta i = \alpha$. Let $\lambda = \theta + cv$ we have $\alpha = \lambda i$ and $p\lambda = p\theta + pcv = p\theta = \beta$. Hence $\lambda : B \to C$ is the desired lift.

$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$. Let $Y \overset{c}{\rightarrowtail} C \overset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} X$ be an extension in $\mathcal{F}$. Then we have the commutative diagram of confilations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & C & \overset{\binom{1}{0}}{\rightarrowtail} & C \oplus X & \overset{(0,1)}{\twoheadrightarrow} & X \\ & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (p,1)} & & \\ Y & \underset{c}{\rightarrowtail} & C & \underset{p}{\twoheadrightarrow} & X. & & \end{array}$$

By assumption, there exists $(\kappa, \lambda) : C \oplus X \to C$ such that $p\kappa = 0$ and $p\lambda = \mathrm{Id}_X$. Hence p splits, thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y) = 0$. □

We recall the definition of a model structure on an exact category in [13].

Definition 2.2. ([13, Definition I.1.1]) A *model structure* on an exact category $\mathcal{C}$ is three classes of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ called cofibrations, fibrations and weak equivalences, denoted by $\mathcal{C}of$, $\mathcal{F}ib$ and $\mathcal{W}e$ respectively. It requires the following axioms.

(M0) (Lifting axiom) Given a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{C}$:



if either i is a cofibration and p is a trivial fibration (i.e., a fibration which is a weak equivalence), or i is a trivial cofibration (i.e., a cofibration which is a weak equivalence) and p is a fibration, then there there exists a morphism $h : B \to X$ such that $hi = f$ and $ph = g$.

(M1) Fibrations are closed under composition and pullback. Cofibrations are closed under composition and pushout. The pullback of a trivial fibration is a weak equivalence, the pushout of a trivial cofibration is a weak equivalence.

(M2) (Factorization axiom) Any morphism f in $\mathcal{C}$ can be factored in two ways: (i) $f = pi$, where i is a cofibration and p is a trivial fibration, and (ii) $f = pi$, where i is a trivial cofibration and p is a fibration.

(M3) (Two out of three axiom) If f, g are composable morphisms in $\mathcal{E}$ and if two of the three morphisms f, g and gf are weak equivalences, so is the third.

Recall that given a model structure $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{C}of, \mathcal{F}ib, \mathcal{W}e)$ on an exact category $\mathcal{C}$, an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is called *cofibrant* if $0 \to A \in \mathcal{C}of$, it is called *fibrant* if $A \to 0 \in \mathcal{F}ib$, and it is called *trivial* if $0 \to A \in \mathcal{W}e$. Each object of $\mathcal{C}$ which is both cofibrant and fibrant is called *bifibrant*. A *path object* for an object $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is an object A^I of $\mathcal{C}$ together with a factorization of the diagonal map $A \stackrel{(1_A,1_A)}{\longrightarrow} A \coprod A$: $A \stackrel{s}{\to} A^I \stackrel{(p_0,p_1)}{\longrightarrow} A \coprod A$ where s is a trivial cofibration and (p_0, p_1) a fibration such that $p_0 s = p_1 s = 1_A$. Two morphisms $f, g : A \to B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ are called *right homotopic* if there exists a path object B^I for B and a morphism $H : A \to B^I$ such that $f = p_0 H$ and $g = p_1 H$. In this case, H is called a *right homotopy* from f to g. If f and g are right homotopic, we denote this by $f \stackrel{r}{\sim} g$. Dually, one can define the notions of *cylinder objects*, *left homotopic* $\stackrel{l}{\sim}$, *left homotopies*, respectively. In the subcategory of bifibrant objects, the relations $\stackrel{r}{\sim}$ and $\stackrel{l}{\sim}$ coincide and yield an equivalence relation. The homotopy category $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is the Gabriel-Zisman localization [4] of $\mathcal{C}$ with respective to the class of weak equivalences.

We need the following characterization of trivial cofibrations and fibrations.

Lemma 2.3.

$$\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F}) = \{i \in \mathrm{Inf}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \mathrm{coker} i \in \mathcal{I}\}$$
$$\mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F}) = \{p \in \mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \ \ker p \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

Proof. We only prove the firs statement since the proof of the second one is similar. If $i : X \to Y$ is a trivial cofibration, then i has a stable inverse $j : Y \to X$. By definition, there is an injective object I and morphisms $t : X \to I$ and $s : I \to X$ such that $\mathrm{Id}_X - ji = st$. By Proposition 2.12 of [3], the morphism $\binom{i}{t} : X \to Y \oplus I$ is an inflation, so it has a cokernel J. Consider the following commutative diagram of conflations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathrm{coker} i \\ \| & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (1,0)} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \eta} \\ X & \xrightarrow[\binom{i}{t}]{} & Y \oplus I & \xrightarrow{h} & J. \end{array}$$

By the dual of Proposition 2.12 of [3], the right square of the above diagram is a pullback. Thus $\eta : J \to \mathrm{coker} i$ has a kernel I, so it is a deflation by Proposition 2.15 of [3]. Since the morphisms i and $(1, 0)$ are stable equivalences and the stable equivalence relation satisfies two out of three property, we know that $\binom{i}{t}$ is a stable equivalence, and then h factors through an injective object. Since $(j, s)\binom{i}{t} = \mathrm{Id}_X$, we know that the second short exact sequence in the above diagram is split. Thus there is a morphism $m : J \to Y \oplus I$ such that $\mathrm{Id}_J = hm$, and then Id_J factors through an injective object. From which we can show that J is an injective object. By the conflation

$$I \rightarrowtail J \overset{\eta}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{coker} i$$

we know that $\mathrm{coker} i$ is a direct summand of J, and so is an injective object.

Conversely, if i is an inflation with $\mathrm{coker} i \in \mathcal{I}$, then it is a trivial cofibration by construction. Thus we have

$$\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F}) = \{i \in \mathrm{Inf}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \mathrm{coker} i \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F}))$ satisfies lifting axiom of Definition 2.2.*

Proof. Consider the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ {\scriptstyle i}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

where $i \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F})$ and $p \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 2.3, $\ker p \in \mathcal{I}$, thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{coker} i, \ker p) = 0$. So by Lemma 2.1, there exists the desired lift from B to X. Similarly, we can prove the other case. □

Lemma 2.5. *Every morphism f in $\mathcal{F}$ can be factorized as $f = pi = qj$, where $p \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F})$, $i \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$, $q \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$, $j \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. If $f : X \to Y$ is an inflation, take $q = \mathrm{Id}_Y, j = f$. For the construction of p and i, note that $\mathcal{F}$ has enough projective objects, there is a projective object P and a deflation $P \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{coker} f$. Then we have the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y' & \longrightarrow & P \\ \| & & {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow[f]{} & Y & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{coker} f \end{array}$$

Since deflations are closed under taking pullbacks, we know that $p \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F})$ and $i \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F})$. Since $P \in \mathcal{I}$, the morphism i is also a weakly equivalence by Lemma 2.3. This gives the factorization of $f = pi$.

Dually, we can prove that the claim holds if $f : X \to Y$ is a deflation. Now suppose that $f : X \to Y$ is an arbitrary morphism in $\mathcal{F}$, it can be factorized as

$$X \overset{\binom{\mathrm{Id}_X}{0}}{\rightarrowtail} X \oplus Y \overset{(f, \mathrm{Id}_Y)}{\twoheadrightarrow} Y$$

where $\binom{\mathrm{Id}_X}{0}$ is an inflation by Lemma 2.7 of [3] and (f, Id_Y) is a deflation by the dual of Proposition 1.12 of [3]. Write $\binom{\mathrm{Id}_X}{0} = p'i$ with $p' \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F})$ and $i \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$. Then $p = (f, \mathrm{Id}_Y)p'$ is in $\mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies $pi = (f, \mathrm{Id}_Y)p'i = (f, \mathrm{Id}_Y)\binom{\mathrm{Id}_X}{0} = f$. Similarly, write $(f, \mathrm{Id}_Y) = qj'$ with $q \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$ and $j' \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F})$. Let $j = j'\binom{\mathrm{Id}_X}{0}$. Then $f = qj$ with $q \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$ and $j \in \mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F})$. □

The proof of Theorem 1.1. We fist prove that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a model structure on $\mathcal{F}$ by verifying the axioms (M0)−(M3) one by one. By construction, all the three classes of morphisms $\mathcal{C}of(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$ contain isomorphisms. By Lemma 2.4, we have (M0). (M2) follows from Lemma 2.5. Since stable equivalence relation satisfies

two out of three property, we know that (M3) holds. For the proof of (M1), note that fibrations are deflations, they are stable under composition and pullback by the axiomatic description of an exact category. Similarly, we know that cofibrations are stable under composition and pushout. Suppose that we have a pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z' & \longrightarrow & X \\ {\scriptstyle q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ Z & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

with $p \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 2.3, $\ker p \in \mathcal{I}$. By the dual of Proposition 2.12 of [3], q is a deflation with $\ker q = \ker p \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus by Lemma 2.3 again, $q \in \mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathcal{W}e(\mathcal{F})$ and in particular it is a weak equivalence. Similarly, we can prove that the pushout of a morphism which is both a cofibration and a weak equivalence is a weak equivalence. Thus $\mathcal{M}_F$ is a model structure on $\mathcal{F}$.

With this model structure, every object in $\mathcal{F}$ is bifibrant. For each object X in $\mathcal{F}$, we can choose a conflation $\Omega(X) \overset{\iota_X}{\rightarrowtail} P(X) \overset{p_X}{\twoheadrightarrow} X$ with $P(X) \in \mathcal{I}$ since $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius category. Then $X \oplus P(X)$ is a path object of X:

$$X \overset{\binom{1_X}{0}}{\rightarrowtail} X \oplus P(X) \overset{\binom{1_X\ p_X}{1_X\ 0}}{\twoheadrightarrow} X \oplus X.$$

It is strait forward to verify that two morphisms $f, g : X \to Y$ is homotopic if and only if they are stably equivalent. Thus $\mathcal{H}o(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{F}})$ is equivalent to $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ as categories by Quillen's homotopy category theorem [13, Theorem I.1.1]. Now we have to prove that the triangle structure on $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ constructed by Quillen in Theorem I.2 of [13] coincides with the one by Happel in Theorem 2.6 of [7]. In fact, for each morphism $f : X \to Y$, since $P(X) \in \mathcal{I}$, there is a morphism x_f such that $fp_X = p_Y x_f$, thus there is a commutative diagram of conflations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega(X) & \overset{\binom{\iota_X}{0}}{\rightarrowtail} & X \oplus P(X) & \overset{\binom{1_X\ p_X}{1_X\ 0}}{\twoheadrightarrow} & X \oplus X \\ {\scriptstyle \kappa_f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \binom{f\ 0}{0\ x_f}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \binom{f\ 0}{0\ f}} \\ \Omega(Y) & \underset{\binom{\iota_Y}{0}}{\rightarrowtail} & Y \oplus P(Y) & \overset{\binom{1_Y\ p_Y}{1_Y\ 0}}{\twoheadrightarrow} & Y \oplus Y \end{array}$$

Thus the loop functors on $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by Quillen and Happel coincide. We use $\Omega : \underline{\mathcal{F}} \to \underline{\mathcal{F}}$ to denote this loop functor which sends X to $\Omega(X)$ and $\underline{f} = \underline{\kappa_f}$. Given

any fibration $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{F}$, we have a commutative diagram of conflations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega(Y) & \xrightarrow{\iota_Y} & P(Y) & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_f} & & \| \\ \ker f & \xrightarrow{\iota_f} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y. \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is an additive category, along the construction of the group action in Chapter I, Section 1.3, Proposition 1 of [13], we can take the group action of $\Omega(Y)$ on $\ker f$ to be $(\underline{1}_{\ker f}, -\underline{\xi}_f) : \ker f \oplus \Omega(Y) \to \ker f$. See [13, Proposition I.1.3], [2, Proposition I.3]. So the left triangles in $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ constructed by Quillen are those which are isomorphic to the form

$$\Omega(X) \overset{-\underline{\xi}_f}{\to} \ker f \overset{\underline{\iota}_f}{\to} X \overset{\underline{f}}{\to} Y.$$

see [9, Theorem 6.2.1, Remark 7.1.3] for details. So the triangle structure on $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ constructed by Quillen coincides with the one constructed by Happel by Lemma 2.7 of [7].

References

[1] Apostolos Beligiannis, Idun Reiten, *Homological and homotopical aspects of torsion theories*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 188(883), 2007.

[2] Kenneth S. Brown, *Abstract homotopy theory and generalized sheaf cohomology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186(1973),419-458.

[3] Theo Bühler, *Exact categories*, Expo. Math. vol. 28, no. 1(2010), 1-69.

[4] Peter Gabriel and Michel Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Ergeb. der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol **35**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.

[5] James. Gillespie, *Model structures on exact categories*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **215**(2011), 2892-2902.

[6] James Gillespie, *Exact model structures and recollements*, arXiv: 1310.7530v1.

[7] Dieter Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. **119**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.

[8] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.

[9] Mark. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63. Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.

[10] Mark. Hovey, *Model category structures on chain complexes of sheaves*, Trans. Amer. math. Soc. 353(2001), 2441-2457.

[11] Mark. Hovey, *Cotorsion pairs, model category structures, and representation theory*, Math. Z. 241(2002), 553-592.

[12] Bernhard Keller, *Chain complexes and stable categories*, Manscripta Math. **67**(1990), no. 4, 379-417.

[13] Daniel G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. **43**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[14] Daniel G. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, WA, 1972), Lect. Notes in Math., vol. **341**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 85-147.

[15] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. **5**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.

E-mail address: zhiweili@jsnu.edu.cn